DOCUMENTATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL INDICATOR DETERMINATION

Interim Final 2/5/99

RCRA Corrective Action Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA750)

Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control

racinty Name:	ROCKWOOI			
Facility Address:	600 Old Smelter Road, Pueblo, Colorado	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Facility EPA ID #:	COD 073405961			
groundwater n	le relevant/significant information on known and reasona nedia, subject to RCRA Corrective Action (e.g., from Soli ulated Units (RU), and Areas of Concern (AOC)), been co	d Waste Managemen	t Units	
			ş jide	
	If yes - check here and continue with #2 below.			
	If no - re-evaluate existing data, or	TO THE PARTY OF THE	Constitution	
and the second second	if data are not available skip to #6 and enter "IN" (mor	e information needed) status code.	

BACKGROUND

Definition of Environmental Indicators (for the RCRA Corrective Action)

Environmental Indicators (EI) are measures being used by the RCRA Corrective Action program to go beyond programmatic activity measures (e.g., reports received and approved, etc.) to track changes in the quality of the environment. The two EI developed to-date indicate the quality of the environment in relation to current human exposures to contamination and the migration of contaminated groundwater. An EI for non-human (ecological) receptors is intended to be developed in the future.

Definition of "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control" EI

A positive "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control" EI determination ("YE" status code) indicates that the migration of "contaminated" groundwater has stabilized, and that monitoring will be conducted to confirm that contaminated groundwater remains within the original "area of contaminated groundwater" (for all groundwater "contamination" subject to RCRA corrective action at or from the identified facility (i.e., site-wide)).

Relationship of EI to Final Remedies

While Final remedies remain the long-term objective of the RCRA Corrective Action program the EI are near-term objectives which are currently being used as Program measures for the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993, GPRA). The "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control" EI pertains ONLY to the physical migration (i.e., further spread) of contaminated ground water and contaminants within groundwater (e.g., non-aqueous phase liquids or NAPLs). Achieving this EI does not substitute for achieving other stabilization or final remedy requirements and expectations associated with sources of contamination and the need to restore, wherever practicable, contaminated groundwater to be suitable for its designated current and future uses.

Duration / Applicability of EI Determinations

EI Determinations status codes should remain in RCRIS national database ONLY as long as they remain true (i.e., RCRIS status codes must be changed when the regulatory authorities become aware of contrary information).

2.	"levels" (i.e., applicable promulgated standards, as well as other appropriate standards, guidelines, guidance, or criteria) from releases subject to RCRA Corrective Action, anywhere at, or from, the facility?						
	x	If yes - continue after identifying key contaminants, citing appropriate "levels," and referencing supporting documentation.					
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	If no - skip to #8 and enter "YE" status code, after citing appropriate "levels," and					
	- 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1	referencing supporting documentation to demonstrate that groundwater is not					
	17 Dec 1888	"contaminated."					
		If unknown - skip to #8 and enter "IN" status code.					
jū.v.	Rationale and	Reference(s):					

The soils and groundwater including lead bearing shot material are contaminated above applicable standards. The soils are contaminated with TCLP lead. The groundwater is contaminated with arsenic. This information is contained in the approved 1993 closure plan for the hazardous waste shot pile.

Footnotes:

"Contamination" and "contaminated" describes media containing contaminants (in any form, NAPL and/or dissolved, vapors, or solids, that are subject to RCRA) in concentrations in excess of appropriate "levels" (appropriate for the protection of the groundwater resource and its beneficial uses).

Has the migration of contaminated groundwater stabilized (such that contaminated groundwater is

-	expected to remain within "existing area of contaminated groundwater" as defined by the monitoring locations designated at the time of this determination)?					
* .	YES	If yes - continue, after presenting or referencing the physical evidence (e.g., groundwater sampling/measurement/migration barrier data) and rationale why contaminated				
		groundwater is expected to remain within the (horizontal or vertical) dimensions of the				
		"existing area of groundwater contamination"2).				

If no (contaminated groundwater is observed or expected to migrate beyond the designated locations defining the "existing area of groundwater contamination"²) ~ skip to #8 and enter "NO" status code, after providing an explanation.

If unknown - skip to #8 and enter "IN" status code.

Rationale and Reference(s):

3.

Hazardous waste capped in place with a RCRA cover to prevent the migration of the contamination.. Contaminated groundwater contained to the area under the close waste pile, and within the property boundary.

² "existing area of contaminated groundwater" is an area (with horizontal and vertical dimensions) that has been verifiably demonstrated to contain all relevant groundwater contamination for this determination, and is defined by designated (monitoring) locations proximate to the outer perimeter of "contamination" that can and will be sampled/tested in the future to physically verify that all "contaminated" groundwater remains within this area, and that the further migration of "contaminated" groundwater is not occurring. Reasonable allowances in the proximity of the monitoring locations are permissible to incorporate formal remedy decisions (i.e., including public participation) allowing a limited area for natural attenuation.

4.	Does "contaminated" groundwater discharge into surface water bodies?					
	If yes - continue after identifying potentially affected surface water bodies.					
	NO If no - skip to #7 (and enter a "YE" status code in #8, if #7 = yes) after providing an explanation and/or referencing documentation supporting that groundwater "contamination" does not enter surface water bodies.					
	- 보통 사용 사용 기계 보통 보통 기계 보통 기계 보통 기계 보통 기계 보통 기계					
	If unknown - skip to #8 and enter "IN" status code.					
	Rationale and Reference(s):					

5.	Is the discharge of "contaminated" groundwater into surface water likely to be "insignificant" (i.e., the maximum concentration ³ of each contaminant discharging into surface water is less than 10 times their appropriate groundwater "level," and there are no other conditions (e.g., the nature, and number, of discharging contaminants, or environmental setting), which significantly increase the potential for unacceptable impacts to surface water, sediments, or eco-systems at these concentrations)?							
• .)r.					* **			
3			If yes - skip to #7 (and the maximum known discharged above their	or reasonably su	spected c	oncentration ³	of <u>key</u> contar	ninants
A.L.		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	there is evidence that					
is Fog.		The Art St	professional judgemen					
			discharge of groundw unacceptable impacts					
			If no - (the discharge significant) - continue suspected concentration the value of the approincreasing; and 2) for greater than 100 times (mass in kg/yr) of each surface water body (a that the amount of discharge significant continues to the surface water body (a that the amount of discharge significant continues to the surface water body (a that the amount of discharge significant).	e after document on ³ of <u>each</u> conta priate "level(s),' any contaminan s their appropria th of these contact t the time of the	ing: 1) the aminant day and if the ts discharate ground minants the determinants the terminants	e maximum ki lischarged abouere is evidence ging into surf water "levels, hat are being ca ation), and ide	nown or reason to its ground to that the con ace water in the estimate ischarged (lo	onably water "level," acentrations are concentrations ³ ad total amount aded) into the
			<u></u>					
			If unknown - enter "I	N" status code ii	1 #8.	end is		e Francisco M
	Ration	nale and R	eference(s):		da.	aalik jir	g V	

³ As measured in groundwater prior to entry to the groundwater-surface water/sediment interaction (e.g., hyporheic) zone.

6.	acceptable" (i.e.,	e of "contaminated" groundwater into surface water be shown to be "currently not cause impacts to surface water, sediments or eco-systems that should not be allowed a final remedy decision can be made and implemented")?
	·	If yes - continue after either: 1) identifying the Final Remedy decision incorporating these conditions, or other site-specific criteria (developed for the protection of the site's
		surface water, sediments, and eco-systems), and referencing supporting documentation
14 14 - 15 - 15 - 15 - 15 - 15 - 15 - 15 -		demonstrating that these criteria are not exceeded by the discharging groundwater; OR
· Comment of the comment	and the second second	2) providing or referencing an interim-assessment,5 appropriate to the potential for
$(x,y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{x}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{x}{2} \right) \right) dx$	1987年 建氯化苯基苯	impact, that shows the discharge of groundwater contaminants into the surface water is
A CONTRACTOR		(in the opinion of a trained specialists, including ecologist) adequately protective of
ale sometimes		receiving surface water, sediments, and eco-systems, until such time when a full
ENTE ANGRES OF	The Marketine	assessment and final remedy decision can be made. Factors which should be considered
at was part of		in the interim-assessment (where appropriate to help identify the impact associated with
er nær vælking i G		discharging groundwater) include: surface water body size, flow,
TOWNS IN		use/classification/habitats and contaminant loading limits, other sources of surface water/sediment contamination, surface water and sediment sample results and
reduce spike of	Safet Jack State (Safety	comparisons to available and appropriate surface water and sediment "levels," as well as
	dan and day ay a	any other factors, such as effects on ecological receptors (e.g., via bio-assays/benthic
g ng saksi yenin s		surveys or site-specific ecological Risk Assessments), that the overseeing regulatory
		agency would deem appropriate for making the EI determination.
cap, religional		nte de nota i lucio fortamente di Alexandria frantziale. La legonizio in con la la espessa di esta espessa de d
an i sa sanggan sa	e ji Mariya saraya <u>sa yaştıya</u> Tariya	If no - (the discharge of "contaminated" groundwater can not be shown to be "currently acceptable") - skip to #8 and enter "NO" status code, after documenting the currently unacceptable impacts to the surface water body, sediments, and/or eco-systems.
The second of	wasti ka je wa ka ka ka kata ka	The state of the s
ក់ ក្នុងស្វីដូវក្សា - ក្នុងស្វីដូវក្សា		If unknown - skip to 8 and enter "IN" status code.
	Rationale and Re	eference(s):

⁴ Note, because areas of inflowing groundwater can be critical habitats (e.g., nurseries or thermal refugia) for many species, appropriate specialist (e.g., ecologist) should be included in management decisions that could eliminate these areas by significantly altering or reversing groundwater flow pathways near surface water bodies.

⁵ The understanding of the impacts of contaminated groundwater discharges into surface water bodies is a rapidly developing field and reviewers are encouraged to look to the latest guidance for the appropriate methods and scale of demonstration to be reasonably certain that discharges are not causing currently unacceptable impacts to the surface waters, sediments or eco-systems.

Will groundwater monitoring / measurement data (and surface water/sediment/ecological data, as

7.

	YE	If yes - continue after providing or citing documentation for planned activities sampling/measurement events. Specifically identify the well/measurement which will be tested in the future to verify the expectation (identified in #3) groundwater contamination will not be migrating horizontally (or vertically,	ocations that
	i gjiha e k h Prajaja diku	necessary) beyond the "existing area of groundwater contamination."	
i de mare a night ro	TRIBALITE LA M	If no - enter "NO" status code in #8	ong de e som ende
en e	10分割5个分约的 25分割5 <u>~一分割</u> 5	If unknown - enter "IN" status code in #8.	
Ra			

, section of the light of the first of the following section of the first section of the first section of the contract of the first section of the contract of the first section of the contract of the first section of th

in the co**uldary, wis**ion, by the 197

Shows a second of the Care of the Care

111

EI	(event code C	A750), and ob	status codes for the Migration of Contantal tain Supervisor (or appropriate Manage propriate supporting documentation as	r) signatu	re and date on the	EI
	X	verified. Bas determination Groundwater 073405961, this determin under contro contaminated groundwater	Migration of Contaminated Groundwater of a review of the information contain, it has been determined that the "Migra" is "Under Control" at the Rockwool for located at 600 Old Smelter Road, Pueblation indicates that the migration of "cold, and that monitoring will be conducted groundwater remains within the "exist." This determination will be re-evaluater of significant changes at the facility.	ined in the ation of Cacility, Eleo Colorado ntaminate to confirming area of the street of the stree	is EI ontaminated PA ID # COD lo Specifically, d" groundwater is m that; f contaminated	
Nice t Ave	1 (127 1 187)	NO - Unaco	eptable migration of contaminated grou	ndwater i	s observed or expe	ected.
andrant		IN - More i	nformation is needed to make a determi	nation.		
ending C	ompleted by	(signature)	Chul I flue	Date	Oct. 27, 1999	
প্রতিক্রাক্তরিক্রিটি। তেওঁ উত্তর্ভন্ত এমার ক্রিক্রেটিয়ের		(print) (title)	Charles G/Johnson Environmental Protection Specialist	<u>-</u> . , , ,		
of historial Life	er e	and the first			and the second	200 - 6

. W. Janesti

(signature) (print) Walter Avramenko (title) (EPA Region or State) Colorado

Date Oct. 27, 1999

Locations where References may be found:

Contact telephone and e-mail numbers

(name) Charles G. Johnson (phone #) 303-692-3348 (e-mail) charles.johnson@state.co.us